

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dafornov@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Vincent Da Forno
018015862

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dahlia Rudavsky (drudavsky@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:34 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We can do better!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dahlia Rudavsky
17 Upland Rd
Woban, MA 02168
drudavsky@comcast.net
(617) 332-5659

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Daisy Chacon (d_chacon@salemstate.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:58 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daisy Chacon
175 Boston St Apt 2
Salem, MA 0190
d_chacon@salemstate.edu
(334) 308

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 75500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: daleaeiermann@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dale Eiermann
02139

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dalila Dos Santos (daliladossantos88@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dalila Dos Santos
101 Gilbert Ave
Chicopee, MA 01013
daliladossantos88@yahoo.com
(413) 636-7372

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: daliladossantos88@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dalila Dos-Santos
01013345

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dan Quill (dan.quill@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:47 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

DEFORESTATION has Permanent Consequences.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dan Quill
4 Great Meadow Rd
Byfield, MA 01922
dan.quill@verizon.net
(946) 228-2288

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dan.quill@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
DanQuill
019221614

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dan.yonah.marshall@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dan Marshall
02466628

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dana Quinn (draeq@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:38 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to clean up the environment not continue to pollute

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dana Quinn
4 Linnaean St
Cambridge, MA 02138
draeq@comcast.net
(617) 354-7614

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: danacarpentier@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 10:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dana Carpentier
02121174

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Daniel Bethoney (ntlbc@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:07 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Don't be silly about wood burning...foster renewables with much less pollutants.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bethoney
50 Ridgeway Ave
Needham, MA 02492
ntlbc@rcn.com
(814) 97116

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Daniel Morrison (dmorriso81@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 6:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Morrison
91 Sidney St Ste 208
Cambridge, MA 02139
dmorriso81@hotmail.com
(617) 858-5663

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Daniel Toner (dft17@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:02 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daniel Toner
17 Boston Ave
Somerville, MA 02144
dft17@hotmail.com
(617) 628-3735

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: danielacojocaru09@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
daniela cojocaru
024813524

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: daniella5002@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Danielle Martin
016062206

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Danielle Miele (danirond@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:16 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Danielle Miele
252 Winter St
North Andover, MA 01845
danirond@hotmail.com
(508) 783-1103

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: danielle84.dt@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
DanielleLemieux
015061780

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: danirond@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Danielle Miele
018451308

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dankozarsky@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
DanielKozarsky
01701058

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: D'Anna Fortunato (rosebearer@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:35 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

D'Anna Fortunato
10 Neillian Cres
Boston, MA 02130
rosebeare@aol.com
(617) 522-6036

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dannateach@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anna Fortunato
02130247

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dannterry@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Theresa Dann
010403524

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Danuta Radko (danutaradko@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 2:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Danuta Radko
66 Parker Ave
Tewksbury, MA 01876
danutaradko@aol.com
(978) 658-5546

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: daphnetstevens4@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 9:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Help, Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Daphne T Stevens
01060

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Daria Mark (daria.mark@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 7:43 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I've been following the RPS in Massachusetts for years and noted the inadequate but starting point for the increase in the RPS requirements last year. Burning wood and trees for electricity, creating more particulate matter and CO2 output is a HUGE step back. We're in a climate crisis - we need real solutions like utility scale storage and wind farms, not steps back to burning wood.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Daria Mark
14 Gibbs St. #3
Brookline, MA 02466
daria.mark@gmail.com
(555) 451-4514

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dav7509531@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: DAVID BRODEN

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
DAVID BRODEN
01701

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dave Anderson (dave@daveanderson.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

These changes would be a massive step backward at a time when we desperately need to be moving forward.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dave Anderson
12 Drew St
Waltham, MA 02453
dave@daveanderson.com
(617) 555-0123

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: davestauber369@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dave Stauber
021841012

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David and Justine Ross (jmdar@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David and Justine Ross
575 Osgood Street Apt 1305
North Andover, MA 01853
jmdar@comcast.net
(351) 201-9834

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Ball (dball@smith.edu) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:34 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood is not a good replacement for oil: trees limit greenhouse gasses and burning wood on a large scale sends dangerous pollutants into the air.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Ball
23 Cedar St
Northampton, MA 01060
dball@smith.edu
(413) 586-3369

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Dow (ddow420@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Here on Cape Cod there was a proposal to convert some of our solid waste to a wood-like product which could be burned to produce energy. I don't know if this off-Cape facility has been built, but burning wood to produce energy is a bad idea, since it increases greenhouse gases and reverses the intent of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. Municipal solid wastes contain a variety of toxic contaminants that shouldn't be released into the atmosphere. Massachusetts should address our MSW disposal options in state and not send excess wastewater treatment sludge to Rhode Island or Maine.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Dow
18 Tree Top Ln
East Falmouth, MA 02536
ddow420@comcast.net
(508) 540-7112

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Dragon (joeofny73@netscape.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:40 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is not the way to go, stay with wind, solar, geo-thermal, even nuclear is better for the environment!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Dragon
18 Waterford St
Gardner, MA 01400
joeofny73@netscape.com
(963) 208-0877

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Dupont (mqddwd@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is not clean energy. We need all the trees we can get to help with the climate crisis. We need to do all we can now.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Dupont
86 South St Apt 3B
Boston, MA 02111
mqddwd@gmail.com
(617) 555-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Gover (dr.gover@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Gover
35 Studley Ct
Rockland, MA 02370
dr.gover@gmail.com
(508) 566-542

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Gronewold (dgronewold@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Gronewold
5 Jeffrey Road
Wald, MA 01781
dgronewold@verizon.net
(508) 965-7111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Holzman (daveytc clown@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 10:49 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Holzman
603 South Street, Apt. 1
Roslindale, MA 02131
daveytc clown@comcast.net
(617) 524-5191

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: david j. lafond (djlafond@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

It is deeply disturbing that the current proposed changes to the RPS would lead to increased use of wood burning, reduced plant efficiency requirements and loosening requirements for emissions reductions time frames as well as requirements for liquid fuels. Given the almost daily new reports of bad news on climate and environment from government agencies and scientific research, it makes no sense to water down the rules and give credit for environmental progress to biomass burning including wood. This is just the wrong way to go. i am deeply opposed to these proposed changes. We all should be.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

david j. lafond
70 Brown Avenue
Holyoke, MA 01040
djlafond@verizon.net
(413) 534-1736

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 95500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Lafond (djlafond@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

i have to say that allowing wood-burning or trash-burning for energy generation to qualify for clean energy is about as ass-backwards as the trump admin's idiotic support of coal-fired generation. This is not the way we make progress toward a clean, renewable energy future and not the best way to use wood or to re-purpose trash. This is unacceptable and just plain stupid.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DavidLafond
70BrownAve
Holyoke, MA01040
djlafond@verizon.net
(413) 534-1736

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 95500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Miller (davidgmiller1@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 2:40 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Miller
2701 Tupelo Cir
North Andover, MA 01845
davidgmiller1@msn.com
6/7/2019

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Miller (dpmiller1955@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:08 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Plans to burn wood for electricity: Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Miller
8 Mozart St.
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
dpmiller1955@outlook.com
(617) 524-6886

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David O'Leary (daveol@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:25 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Reject any plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

As a Springfield resident, I am very concerned about the tragically high rates of asthma in our city. A wood burning facility is proposed for construction in Springfield, and these proposed changes would provide additional incentives for and weakened protections allowing more pollution. Low income children are especially vulnerable to this pollution, so it is appalling that these changes are even being considered.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David O'Leary
151 Forest Park Ave
Springfield, MA 01108
daveol@earthlink.net
(301) 277-111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Otten (otten@mit.edu) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 5:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Otten
58 Grove Hill Park
Newton, MA 02460
otten@mit.edu
(617) 306-9133

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Paquette (davidpaquettejr@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Paquette
21 Wedge St
Lowell, MA 01851
davidpaquettejr@comcast.net
978-1200

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Rohrlich (rohrlich@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:26 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I get that a wood fire in a fireplace is a cozy thing. But there is absolutely no reason to allow wood fires in electricity production.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Rohrlich
18 Arborway
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
rohrlich@me.com
(617) 522-1700

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Walter (hrwr@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:22 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Walter
126 Newburg St
Roslindale, MA 02131
hrwr@earthlink.net
(617) 325-2227

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: David Wise (davewise100@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:55 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Wise
5 Brooks Rd
Rockport, MA 01966
davewise100@gmail.com
(951) 516-7335

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: davidharkeith@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
DavidKeith
011213

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: davidpaquettejr@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Paquette
018513625

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: davidpholland@verizon.net
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 10:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Holland
01082154

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dball@smith.edu
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Ball
010604201

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dbrlsts56@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Debra Stosz
010403152

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dcarroll379@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, August 3, 2019 8:46 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diana Carroll
01038380

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dcharlap@outlook.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Donna Charlap
01450208

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dean Miller (deanjmiller@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:28 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dean Miller
106 Washington St
Canton, MA 02021
deanjmiller@comcast.net
(617) 608-8704

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dean Stevens (dean@deanstevens.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Gov. Baker, Say no to fossil fuel burning in MA for power. Dean Stevens

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dean Stevens
22 Clearwater Rd
Chestnut Hill, MA 02167
dean@deanstevens.com
(617) 327-702

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: deangelisstudio12@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 6:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
michael deangelis
01832738

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: deargertrude@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Paula Cole
01915210

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: deathstar181@hotmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 4:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ben Carden
020621408

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deb Pasternak (deb.pasternak@sierraclub.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 11:28 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The science behind this is indisputable. The greenhouse gas emissions burned in woody biomass will not be recovered in the timeline necessary to avert the worst impacts of the climate crisis.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Pasternak
3 Ruggles Street
Westborough, MA 01581
deb.pasternak@sierraclub.org
(617) 852-2641

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deb Pasternak (deb@debpasternak.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:40 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood for electricity will Increase carbon emissions and particulate pollution over the next ten years. The proposed plant in Springfield would greatly negatively impact a community already burdened with environmental injustices. We need to be increasing incentives for efficiency, wind and solar in order to lower our greenhouse gas emissions enough to avert climate catastrophe.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Pasternak
73 Ruggles Street
Westborough, MA 01581
deb@debpasternak.com
(617) 852-2641

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deb Rivkin (brucejunk@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:05 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Rivkin
140 Carolina Ave
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
brucejunk@aol.com
(617) 522-5481

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deb Salipante (debsal@verizo.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:05 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Salipante
159 Main St
Stoneham, MA 02180
debsal@verizo.net
(617) 507-5354

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deb Stringham (stringham2000@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:49 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deb Stringham
45 High St
Sharon, MA 02067
stringham2000@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: deb.herath@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Deborah Herath
0107631

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: debleecon@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Constance Graham
02043378

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: debolander@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 5:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Deborah Olander
01810

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Barolsky (dbardei@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:31 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Barolsky
15 Scituate St
Arlington, MA 02466
dbardei@comcast.net
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Bigwood (debtest_01@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:40 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is not an environmentally safe form of energy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Bigwood
225 Cohasset St
Worcester, MA 01604
debtest_01@yahoo.com
(508) 75-3169

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Brown (glassbrook2003@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:13 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As part of our real attempts to monitor and hold the speed of heating up our earth, we absolutely need to curtail wood burning for electricity--absolutely defeats the value of trees and their help in keeping the earth working.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Brown
85 Cady St
North Adams, MA 01277
glassbrook2003@yahoo.com
(413) 663-9258

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Coviello (mrscoviello@netzero.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2019 12:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Coviello
27 Colonial Dr
Clinton, MA 01510
mrscoviello@netzero.net
(415) 368-3541

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah De Gil (2madema@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:34 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah De Gil
71 Sabin Dr
Wiamstown, MA 01267
2madema@gmail.com
(413) 58-5316

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Gudzevich (phaydradae@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

It takes 100 years to grow a tree and only a few hours to cut it down and burn it up. Trees are not a truly renewable fuel. Their job is to clean the air. Please don't take them away from their very important work and make them do just the opposite of what nature made them to do.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Gudzevich
83 Franklin St
Stoneham, MA 02180
phaydradae@verizon.net
(617) 640-735

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Slotpole (dslotpole@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:08 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We don't have time to water down the rules to meet the annual 2% RPS target. We need action from our state government to significantly boost real renewable power....from solar and wind!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Slotpole
81 Westerly Rd
Weston, MA 0245
dslotpole@gmail.com
(617) 83-1530

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-0000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Spencer (dspencer21661@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Spencer
12 Newport Dr
Billerica, MA 01821
dspencer21661@gmail.com
(617) 711-1368

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Westaway (dwestawa@bhcc.mass.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:58 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Additional particulate matter in the air we breathe will increase pulmonary disease in the Commonwealth, and in the Northeast of the US and southern areas of eastern Canada. Please protect our lungs. Thank you for rethinking this decision.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Westaway
21 Locust Rd
Chelmsford, MA 01824
dwestawa@bhcc.mass.edu
(937) 314-3143

This message was sent by KnowWho, a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deborah Westaway (profwestaway@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:25 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Gov. Baker,

Please rethink your plan about using wood for energy. Please do not consider them a renewable source of energy. Trees are a natural resource. They are beautiful and provide us needed shade. They provide essential oxygen and reduce carbon dioxide in our toxic atmosphere. The damage to the environment and climate from burning wood is significant and cannot be reversed as we plunge deeper and deeper into global warming. Thank you for reading my comments. I would like to be able to support you politically in the future, but this short-sighted "solution" has negative implications far into our future. I will watch your actions as we continue to publicly discuss your proposal.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Westaway
21 Locust Rd.
Chelmsford, MA 01824
profwestaway@gmail.com
(978) 683-1988

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Debra Packard (debracpackard@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 11:58 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We need Massachusetts forests to continue to thrive and we need you in the legislature to stop any legislation that would endanger them.. They provide homes to 85% of terrestrial wildlife, they produce 25% of our oxygen, they clean our air and water. Burning trees is dirtier than coal in terms of air pollution and in terms of CO2 production. You may not realize this, but Massachusetts has the best forests in the Northeast for capturing carbon dioxide (along with the Adirondacks). They are at an age where the amount of carbon they capture is increasing exponentially. They are a gift and it is the wrong time to cut them down.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debra Packard
96 Meriam St.
Debra, MA 02120
debracpackard@gmail.com
(617) 424-0119

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Debra Wexler-Romig (djwexler@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 11:49 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Debra Wexler-Romig
22 Cottage Street
Framingham, MA 01701
djwexler@gmail.com
(508) 335-8824

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: decclare88@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 4:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Clare Cornell
025366207

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dee Boyle-Clapp (starlightllama@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 5:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

As a resident of the Pioneer Valley, my family and my students breathe the air that would be negatively impacted by a biomass plant.

When we moved here 20 years ago, within a year, I developed asthma. Within the next 2-3 years, so did my husband. I understand first-hand the terror of suddenly, for no reason, not being able to breathe. We are not smokers and never have been. It did not surprise me at all to learn that Springfield is the asthma capital of the Commonwealth but it did surprise me that this Valley may be asked to bear an increased burden while there are better ways to use forest 'waste' and to create clean, renewable energy.

Solar works here. My house is solar powered and off the grid, and has been for 20 years. My office at UMass benefits from solar. I ask you to be a real leader in ~~RE~~ renewable energy, and not to fall for the trick that biomass, and burning anything, including trees, is part of the solution to the climate crisis. ~~RE~~ A clean energy will not include burning, but must use wind, solar, power reduction, and the serious planting of trees and carbon 'farming' which is something that will sequester carbon. Thank you for being a climate leader.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dee Boyle-Clapp
90 Chesterfield Road
Florence, MA 01053
starlightllam@gmail.com
(413) 584-1703

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dee Morris (kittyhawk12348@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 10:49 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please no wood burning!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dee Morris
39 Russell St
Medford, MA 02155
kittyhawk12348@earthlink.net
(81) 31-166

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: deemorehouse@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diedre Colburn-Morehouse
02663

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Deirdre Riley (williams_deirdre@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deirdre Riley
31 Pond Street, 31 pond street, 2, 2
Cohasset, MA 02025
williams_deirdre@yahoo.com
(508) 70-571

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: deirdre.rocks88@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
deirdre rocks
02740236

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: denisec32@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Denise Conboy
0110619

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: deniskalthofer@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 9:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Denis DettlingKalthofer
02155420

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dennis Demarinis (denjwu@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please be progressive and use a more environmental friendly fuel.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dennis Demarinis
119 Victoria St
New Bedford, MA 02745
denjwu@aol.com
(508) 741-1182

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dennis Rogers (derogers_98@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dennis Rogers
17 Williams Rd
Hubbardston, MA 01522
derogers98@yahoo.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Derek Koundakjian (derek@greenenergyconsumers.org) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 2:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Derek Koundakjian
281 Amory Street
Boston, MA 02130
derek@greenenergyconsumers.org
(33) 223-718

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: derogers_98@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dennis Rogers
014521321

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Desba Silvers (dcs6460@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:26 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Desba Silvers
112 Chestnut St
Amherst, MA 01002
dcs6460@gmail.com
(413) 687-3801

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: deysher@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anne Deysher
01581320

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dgsproperties@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diane Sacchetti
019653407

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dharris@servicenet.org
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Donna Harris
01000155

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dhgreen@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dan and Ann Green
017

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Diane Callahan (d2zcallahan@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:44 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Callahan
81 Locke Rd
Chelmsford, MA 01824
d2zcallahan@comcast.net
(978) 251-1711

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Diane Doucette (dianead2@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:14 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Doucette
20 Church St Apt 6
Braintree, MA 02184
dianead2@comcast.net
(617) 380-7539

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Diane Fine (d1028@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~Wood~~ burning is not clean! No more loopholes.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Fine
31 Frances Drive
Stoughton, MA 02072
d1028@verizon.net
(813) 311-6833

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Diane LeDuc (dianejleduc@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 11:01 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

If you're going to do something, do it right!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DianeLeDuc
9 Church St
Harwich, MA 02645
dianejleduc@comcast.net
(508) 432-5710

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Diane Lehner (lehner.dl@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Lehner
48 Hanover St
Newbury, MA 01951
lehner.dl@comcast.net
(978) 572-5363

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Diane McMahon (bellagiomum52@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:52 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane McMahon
5 Polaris Ln
Tewksbury, MA 01876
bellagiomum52@gmail.com
978-863-1917

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Diane Mincieli (seagnomes@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:01 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Mincieli
271 Bog Pond Rd.
Brewster, MA 02631
seagnomes@gmail.com
(508) 86-6300

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Diane Wildes (dianewildes@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:19 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Diane Wildes
32 Coleman Rd
Groveland, MA 01834
dianewildes@comcast.net
(978) 521-4177

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: diane@dianerose.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diane Rose
02512157

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dianne Hoaglin (hoagd@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dianne Hoaglin
3 Hickory Rd
Sudbury, MA 01761
hoagd@aol.com
943-3603

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: diegruiz@hotmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diego Ruiz
1075

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: digdab@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SoAllen
026920

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: digital.artist56@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Judith Partington
021431103

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: digitalsonic@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
S. Robertson
01543622

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dimanhs@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Devin Anttil
0201504

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: djgoguen@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Daniel Goguen
02142215

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: djshugrue@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Donna Shugrue
02332

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dkmactivist@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Myers
01701374

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dlgola@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Douglas Gola
01052607

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dmbertelli@roadrunner.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dawn M Bertelli
0124200

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dmdebmyers@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Deborah Myers

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Deborah Myers
01951716

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dmhsetka@msn.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 2:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Robert Setka
010403524

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dodds@pobox.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Douglas Dodds
02138

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dogbun@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Callie Bagdon
0103877

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Domenico Mastrototaro (reditalia@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Domenico Mastrototaro
30 Fayette St
Boston, MA 02116
reditalia@mac.com
(617) 423-3667

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dominique Ross (dominique.fyfe@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:40 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I have two small children, ages 7 years old and 2 months old. I worry about the state of the earth and the environmental fallout they will experience as a result of decisions like these. Our earth cannot sustain this type of abuse forever and the indications of climate change being at a point of no return are evident. Please do your part in ensuring my children have a future.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dominique Ross
23 Plumbley Road
Upton, MA 01568
dominique.fyfe@gmail.com
(617) 851-116

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-0000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Don Hnatowich (djhnatowich@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Don Hnatowich
65 Naples Rd
Brookline, MA 02445
djhnatowich@gmail.com
(617) 776-333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Don Mallinson (dfmallinson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:41 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Compost trees, do not burn them.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Don Mallinson
~~75~~ Carriage Shop Rd
East Falmouth, MA 02536
dfmallinson@gmail.com
~~7255-175~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Don Thompson (thompson_don@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Don Thompson
11 Blackstone St Apt 7
Cambridge, MA 02139
thompson_don@comcast.net
(857) 212-6864

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Donald Di Russo (di.russo@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

~~CA and RPS~~ ~~is the only way we are going to save this planet. You don't think we humans will be the least bit sorry if we don't?~~

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Di Russo
130 Dana Ave
Hyde Park, MA 02136
di.russo@verizon.net
(617) 617-6176

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Donald Henrich (uechenrich@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This is not a good idea, please reconsider!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Henrich
11 Glen Rd
Dedham, MA 02026
uechenrich@yahoo.com
(81) 381-244

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Donald Stampfler (dazrel@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:08 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald Stampfler
1 Marlboro Rd
Georgetown, MA 01833
dazrel@earthlink.net
(33) 337-608

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: donaldalgerjr@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Donald Alger Jr.
021202182

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dongohn@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Donald Gohn
014521161

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: donjohnson11.12@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 7:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
DonJohnson
01510

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: donloncs@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Carole M. Auliffe
02667233

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Donna Friedman (donna.friedman@umb.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We need to be laser-focused on a clean energy plan that we know is good for all our children's children and their children, as well.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Friedman
5 Cutter Ln
North Quincy, MA 02171
donna.friedman@umb.edu
(617) 98816

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 95500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Donna Kiluk (dkiluk@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:29 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Start listening to the people, they are reason you are in office. To represent us not your lobbyists!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Kiluk
38 Gardena St
Brighton, MA 02135
dkiluk@gmail.com
(617) 230-574

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Donna Parente (dp0783@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donna Parente
20 North St
Milford, MA 01757
dp0783@yahoo.com
(508) 43-0783

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: donya.melanson@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

~~DONYA MELANSON~~
018601600

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dorenehiggins9@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dorene Higgins
019503645

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Doris Burford (drisorn@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Because I am alarmed about particulate matter and other pollution from wood burning I have stopped using my own wood burning stove. I believe we must use only clean energy sources to halt climate change.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doris Burford
41 Hall St
Boston, MA 02130
drisorn@gmail.com
(617) 852-3370

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Doris Horgan (dohorgan@townisp.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:46 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We should leave a healthy environment for the next generations.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doris Horgan
66 Plainfield Ave
Shrewsbury, MA 01545
dohorgan@townisp.com
(508) 734-8076

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dorothy Anderson (gramdot@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:31 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This is a bad idea. We need our forest to clean the air

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Anderson
125 River St
Weymouth, MA 02191
gramdot@hotmail.com
(617) 335-1051

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dorothy Boorse (dorothy.boorse@gordon.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:28 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Boorse
188 Brimbal Ave
Beverly, MA 01915
dorothy.boorse@gordon.edu



This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dorothy King (dorrieking@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DorothyKing
100 Trowbridge St
Cambridge, MA 02138
dorrieking@gmail.com
(617) 354-2882

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dorothy McIver (twomoons45@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2019 10:46 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am very concerned about cutting down our forests for electricity and fuel to burn of any kind -and for agriculture, raising cattle, and to create more land for housing, strip malls and sprawl. We need to save as much of our forests as possible, especially old growth forests and we need to plant trees everywhere- they will help us mitigate some of the effects of climate change that are irreversible at this point and help us transition into the environment of our future if we are to even have one for our descendants.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy McIver
88 Columbus Ave
Greenfield, MA 01301
twomoons45@gmail.com
(413) 72-3777

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dorothy Mclver (twomoons45@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 9:55 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Mclver
88 Columbus Ave
Greenfield, MA 01301
twomoons45@gmail.com
~~(413) 72-37~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dorothy Mikos (ddddmm@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Massachusetts needs clean renewable energy.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Mikos
21 Burnham Rd
Wenham, MA 01984
ddddmm@aol.com
(916) 308-3009

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dorwendl@icloud.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

- Dorthée

013388

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Doug Drenik (ddrenik@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:25 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doug Drenik
4Oakland Ter
Natick, MA 01760
ddrenik@hotmail.com
(508) 545-2567

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Douglas McMillan (dmc3163@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Really? Please don't do this. Massachusetts has what it takes To be a renewable energy leader. Set the example, do not allow wood burning to be included in a "clean" energy plan

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglas McMillan
66 Norfolk St.
Worcester, MA 02081
dmc3163@gmail.com
(508) 641-8672

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Douglas Renick (renick.rinehart@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:01 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglas Renick
105 Black Birch Trl
Florence, MA 01062
renick.rinehart@comcast.net
(413) 320-1002

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Douglas Zook (dpzook@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 1:49 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As a scientist focused on ecology for over 25 years, it is completely unjustified to support wood burning of any kind for electricity and similar products. This is not only a release of more carbon but can mean more tree/pant removal -- the precise opposite of what is necessary. Indeed, the proposal is very unscientific and needs to be rejected/revoked. Dr. Zook

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Douglas Zook
101 Redlands Rd#2
West Roxbury, MA 02132
dpzook@gmail.com
(617) 791-0710

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Downing Cless (downing.cless@tufts.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 6:11 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Biomass releases more carbon emissions than coal, so it would be a huge step backward to reclassify it as renewable. The IPCC and NAREports give only 10 years to put a cap on carbon emissions before runaway global warming. DOER needs to address that head on rather than going for a policy which would increase emissions!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Downing Cless
48 Spy Pond Lane
Arlington, MA 02474
downing.cless@tufts.edu
(617) 859-9270

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: downing.cless@tufts.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Downing Cless
0218263

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: doylemaureen3@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 4:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Maureen Doyle
01550

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dr.gover@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Gover
023702509

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: drvmarcello@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Victoria Marcello
018265228

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ds4paws@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diane Saunders
02356279

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dsnassar@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Deidre Nassar
012672516

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: duckfood1@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tina Strunk
019831824

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dunham.susan@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Dunham
01080123

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dwhite@gilbertwhite.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

David White

02/24

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Dwight Hutchison (dhdhutch04@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:46 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dwight Hutchison
155 Lamartine St Apt 401
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
dhdhutch04@gmail.com
(617) 669-483

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: dyedivajane@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jane Steinberg
018543650

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: earth.angel1924@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Margaret Matuck
01085371

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: eatonbar@usa.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Friendly
01020615

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ebkimber@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2019 3:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
EvelynKimber
02116

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: echodream55@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Peter Neagle
2301

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ecolawdefenders@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 5:05 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Margaret Sheehan
02360

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ecrthomas@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eleanor Thomas
0201210

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ed Popielarczyk (balloonpop@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:16 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~Wood~~ burning is not Renewable energy!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ed Popielarczyk
981 Burts Pit Rd
Florence, MA 01062
balloonpop@comcast.net
(413) 584-765

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: edensedge18@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Maria Benotti
01921061

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: edflower48@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Edward Flower
01252615

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Edie Allen (edieallen06@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:22 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am not a scientist but I have read enough to know that these proposed changes to the states Renewable Portfolio Standards are a bad idea. You can't create clean energy and reduce greenhouse gases by burning wood.

I am an artist who makes name signs for children. As I help families celebrate new lives of Emma, Sophie, James, Milo, Max, Oliver, Jocelyn, Arthur, Obadiah, Sydney, I worry about their futures. Where will they live as sea levels rise and forests burn? We have only a few years left to act.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

EdieAllen
53 Richfield Rd
Arlington, MA 02474
edieallen06@gmail.com
(617) 616-9629

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Edith Buhs (edithbuhs@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2019 12:14 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edith Buhs
61 Hampstead Road, Apt. 2
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
edithbuh@yahoo.com
(617) 755-3411

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: edlovepastel@icloud.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Edie Raskin
0246268

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: edsd18@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rusty Glicksman
024616

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Edward James (ejames@bridgew.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:23 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Massachusetts should be leading the way in measures to combat global warming and the devastation it brings with it. To count wood burning as a renewable energy in this situation is absurd, for wood burning results in more carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, which is precisely what we should be trying to diminish!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward James
226 Causeway St
Medfield, MA 02052
ejames@bridgew.edu
(508) 359-8655

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: edward_everett@harvard.edu
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2019 12:28 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ed Everett
021431864

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: edwardskowron17@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ed Skowron
1507529

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: edyecox@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Edythe Cox
02184861

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: eepmig@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
SteveKramer
02324161

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: efmiller2@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sheila Miller
011062842

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: egorwild1@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Eric Grant

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eric Grant
01930

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: egtfarm@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Taylor
0263174

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ehalloran@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eric Halloran
013700091

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eileen Entin (eileenhome@gmail.co) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:20 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Entin
10 Blossomcrest Rd
Lexington, MA 02421
eileenhome@gmail.co
(213) 234-5678

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eileen Herman-Haase (hermanhaase@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:41 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Herman-Haase
711 Fulton St
Medford, MA 02155
hermanhaase@comcast.net
(617) 36-1232

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eileen Prefontaine (elprefon@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:50 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Prefontaine
38 Mount Vickery Rd
Southborough, MA 01772
elprefon@verizon.net
980-1108

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eileen Venable (evenable934@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:25 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Follow California's lead in making America what it needs to be.
Burning wood is fine for an individual's campsite. It is certainly not OK for industry.
Subsidize Clean Energies.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eileen Venable
54 Dana Road
Reading, MA 01867
evenable934@gmail.com
(617) 458-9199

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: eileenhome@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am concerned about the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to everyone's health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
eileen entin
~~21217124~~

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: eileenmcnamara@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eileen McNamara
02102105

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ejefield16@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
liz Field
01/20/16

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elaine Radiss (eradiss@roadrunner.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:34 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elaine Radiss
12 Blue Hill Ln
Great Barrington, MA 01230
eradis@roadrunner.com
(413) 528-6504

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elaine.chi@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elaine Chichester
01301179

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elainesalvo@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elaine Salvo
023022505

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elana Katz (elanakatz@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:29 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ElanaKatz
1 Pond View Cir
Sharon, MA 02067
elanakatz@yahoo.com
(508) 79-2289

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elana rose (elanakatz@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:37 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elana rose
1 Pond view circle
Sharon, MA 02067
elanakatz@yahoo.com
(508) 79-2289

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elanakatz@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
ElanaKatz rose
020671136

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elayne Murphy (emurphy@williams.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 10:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elayne Murphy
23 Meadow St
Wiamstown, MA 01267
emurphy@williams.edu
~~(413) 458-2977~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eleanor Jones (joneseleonor6@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:50 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Jones
2 Sacramento St Apt 2
Cambridge, MA 02138
joneseleonor6@gmail.com
(617) 645-8338

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: eleanor_mathews@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eleanor Mathews
017202804

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: eli.schotz@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 2:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eli Schotz
01026

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elisa J Grammer (elisa.grammer@perennialmotion.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elisa J Grammer
7 Coffin St
West Newbury, MA 01885
elisa.grammer@perennialmotion.com
(603) 750-325

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elisa Salmon (nipsyrussell@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:01 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

worst idea ever. why are we moving away from clean energy and back to filth?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elisa Salmon
23 Pearl Street Unit A
AMESBURY, MA 01921
nipsyrussell@yahoo.com
(959) 513

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elisabethmp2@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Maria Pagano
01905102

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elise@schlaikjer.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elise Schlaikjer
013019503

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elisemcmullin@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elise McMullin
02655

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Archer (aknitliz@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:28 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Senator Baker:

Please work in collaboration with Global and Local Environmental Scientists to ensure our Children's safety for the Clean Air and Clean Water our Children need to be healthy!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Archer
12 Columbia St
Wellesley, MA 02181
aknitliz@gmail.com
(617) 646-6408

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Cumberbatch (mommasbunny@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Cumberbatch
15 Larose Ave
Gloucester, MA 01930
mommasbunny@gmail.com
(953) 530-8333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Ewins (inanna6263@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 6:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am glad that you have clean energy goals. But wood burning with its CO2 emissions is not right.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Ewins
34 Hamilton Rd Apt 506
Arlington, MA 02474
inanna6263@comcast.net
(816) 616-2176

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Gifford (lissafer117@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:01 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~DONOT CHANGE STATUS~~

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Gifford
20 Summer St Apt 312
Woburn, MA 02722
lissafer117@gmail.com
(617) 923-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Howlett (betsyw@crocker.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Please pay attention to the children and elders who suffer from asthma, precipitated by smoky air, which would only be exacerbated with MORE wood-burning...

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Howlett
595 Pleasant St
Amherst, MA 01002
betsyw@crocker.com
(413) 253-2143

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Merrick (ebm333@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 6:20 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

The proposal to include wood burning as renewable clean energy makes a mockery of the whole concept. We are in the midst of a climate emergency. Massachusetts must move rapidly away from fuels that produce greenhouse gas emissions and pollutants that harm our health!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Merrick
97 Broadway
Somerville, MA 02144
ebm333@comcast.net
(617) 800-3626

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Newton (newtoneliz@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:56 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Newton
23 Sycamore Rd
Wald, MA 01781
newtoneliz@gmail.com
(617) 83-5544

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Pols (epols@admin.umass.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

My own property in Belchertown, where I've lived happily surrounded by forests in the states chapter 61 program. Knowing how critical is every tree to combat the devastation of climate change, I steward my own forest very carefully. Please do not give an incentive to owners of forested land to diminish the number of forested Massachusetts acres forest. A wiser move would be for the DOER to offer incentives to retain every tree possible and plant MORE.
Thank you, Elizabeth Pols

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Pols
46th St
Belchertown, MA 01007
epols@admin.umass.edu
(413) 65-1265

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Ryan (liz_ryan9@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:37 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I oppose using wood burning to meet our clean energy goals.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Ryan
54 Rockland St.
Canton, MA 02021
liz_ryan@verizon.net
(617) 828-4735

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Salo (esalo@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:08 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood is a dirty fuel! Burning wood contributes to air pollution and the associated health risks, and also contributes CO2 to our atmosphere. Keep our air clean with solar energy.
Support and facilitate subsidies for home owners to participate in the savings in energy costs of solar energy produced where it is used in the home.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Salo
6 Shady Lane
Sterling, MA 01564
esalo@comcast.net
978-838-8381

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 95500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Shaughnessy (wshaughnessy@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Shaughnessy
218 Brookway Dr
Northbridge, MA 01534
wshaughnessy@gmail.com
(508) 234-5101

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Smith (elizabeth@caretakerfarm.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Harvesting living trees which sequester massive amounts of carbon carbon to pelletize and burn as "bio-fuel" is insane!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Smith
1216 Hancock Rd
Wiamstown, MA 01267
elizabeth@caretakerfarm.org
(413) 584-3040

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Elizabeth Sterling (343.forstuff2@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 10:50 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Sterling
343 Pleasant St
Marlborough, MA 01752
343.forstuff2@gmail.com
(508) 303-3193

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elizabeth.lott@1199.org
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 11:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Lott
021481750

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elizabeth@caretakerfarm.org
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 9:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Smith
01267

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elizabeth@caretakerfarm.org
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Smith
012673026

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elizabethsk@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
ElizabethKoundakjian
021381650

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ellen Leary (calielove91@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2019 2:38 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Leary
12 Crowninshield St
Peabody, MA 01960
calielove91@yahoo.com
978-5818

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ellen Levine (lev617@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:46 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Levine
221 Mount Auburn St
Cambridge, MA 02138
lev617@verizon.net
(617) 623-6236

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ellen Moyer (ellenmoyer@em-green.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 12:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Moyer
258 Main Road
Montgomery, MA 01085
ellenmoyer@em-green.com
(413) 862-3322

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ellen Moyer (ellenmoyer@em-green.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 10:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Don't go backwards and hurt us all. What you propose is outrageous and a betrayal of the state's agreement with citizens in 2012 to rein in corporate pork for biomass in order for citizens to withdraw a referendum question for which we had more than enough signatures than required. This is absolutely vile.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Moyer
258 Main Road
Montgomery, MA 01085
ellenmoyer@em-green.com
(413) 862-3322

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ellen Moyer (ellenmoyer@em-green.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 3:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Stop this at once. You work for citizens, not special interests - remember that.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Moyer
258 Main Road
Montgomery, MA 01085
ellenmoyer@em-green.com
(413) 862-3322

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ellen Rothman (ekrothman@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:10 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Rothman
14 Fairview Avenue
Woburn, MA 02472
ekrothman@comcast.net
(617) 923-9999

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ellenjohnson275@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am a constituent from Springfield, and I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
EllenJohnson
0111924

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ellenk222@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
EllenKennedy
01907219

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ellenmoyer@em-green.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ellen Moyer
01089517

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ellyhughes@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elly Hughes
020432361

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ellyurq@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
EllyUrquhart
02116917

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eloise Levy (eloiseis@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:31 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eloise Levy
37 Clayton Circle
Orleans, MA 02653
eloiseis@aol.com
(508) 210-0512

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elprefon@rprefontaine.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:15 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
~~ELEEN PREFONTAINE~~
01721820

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elsieblanco1946@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Linda Blanco
01720

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: elstan1986@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stan Samuelson
0269

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: emc1.0@juno.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elaine Cavanaugh
01461271

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Emily Konstan (emilylists@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:40 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emily Konstan
24 Dutton St
Worcester, MA 01610
emilylists@hotmail.com
(413) 222-2224

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Emily Norton (enorton@crwa.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:41 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emily Norton
58 Prescott St
Newtonville, MA 02460
enorton@crwa.org
(617) 550-0362

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Emma Houseman (emmadsh@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 4:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Emma Houseman
20 Reid Rd
Emma, MA 01824
emmadsh@icloud.com
(978) 256-965

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: emmadsh@icloud.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Emma Houseman
01824320

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: emmastamas@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Please be aware that solar and wind installation provides more and better paying jobs.

In contrast, There are currently more jobs for truck drivers and for people operating heavy machinery than can be filled because these jobs are dangerous and difficult and poorly paid.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Emma Stamas

013491

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: emmerschmidt@verizon.net
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 3:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Schmidt
020561405

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: emstaudt@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Erin Staudt
018103620

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: emwboston@comcast.net
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 3:58 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
EMWong
01201

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: epols@admin.umass.edu
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 2:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am adding my voice to those opposing the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. Every day we hear more definite research proving that maintaining—Indeed increasing—our forested acres is critical in combatting climate change. The DOER plans reductions not only facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Pols
01007

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: erasmustoo@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
G Seiler
02782813

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: erdmute white (ewhite1@purdue.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 3:01 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The authorities installed by the people are working against the good of the people. why?

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

erdmute white
100 Jackson Hill Road
Leverett, MA 01054
ewhite1@purdue.edu
(413) 367-0309

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eric Fournier (ehf21st@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Fournier
9 Parker St
Wartown, MA 02722
ehf21st@yahoo.com
(617) 833-0288

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eric Myrvaagnes (myrvaagnes@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:52 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Myrvaagnes
59 Morseland Ave
Newton Center, MA 02459
myrvaagne@verizon.net
(617) 332-2934

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eric Parker (theriex@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Parker
8 Douglas St#3
Boston, MA 02127
theriex@gmail.com
(617) 268-0354

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Eric Smalley (eric@scriven.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 7:14 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Eric Smalley
22 Conway St.#2
Roslindale, MA 02131
eric@scriven.com
(617) 854-68

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ericlind@earthlink.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:18 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
EricLind
01761607

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: EricRanvig@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eric Ranvig
01720

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Erin Buckley (erin.olivia.17@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:47 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We have an opportunity here to invest in a future. Please be sure that we are focusing on solar and wind, not biomass. We do not have enough time for newly planted trees to mature and sequester the carbon released by burning trees.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Buckley
40 Water St.
North Andover, MA 01845
erin.olivia.17@gmail.com
(860) 795-5166

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Erin Haugh (erin_gillen@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:58 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Haugh
15 Grist Mill Ln
Hampden, MA 01036
erin_gillen@hotmail.com
(413) 566-1191

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Erin Malloy (erin030883@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:40 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Malloy
4 Walnut St Unit 4
Waltham, MA 02453
erin030883@gmail.com
(508) 873-3599

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Erin Staudt (emstaudt@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:41 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erin Staudt
14 Summer St
Erin, MA 01810
emstaudt@gmail.com
~~978-585~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: erin taylor (erinmelissataylor@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 2:32 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

These changes create additional incentives for generation that emits greenhouse gases (GHGs), particulate pollution, and toxic air. We've worked tirelessly to protect our children, our future, our air and our climate by increasing the RPS---don't make it easier for the market to support things like trash incineration over wind power and other zero-emission technologies. I'm a mom, and I'm scared of these changes!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

erin taylor
48 Everett St
Arlington, MA 02464
erinmelissataylor@gmail.com
(617) 897-0373

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: erin.sauvegarde@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Erin Chae
021304626

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: erin_gillen@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Erin Haugh
0103064

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: erin030883@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
erin malloy
0253420

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: erinstack@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Erin Stack
01950290

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ernest Bell (ebell02481@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Allowing burning of the short-cycle carbon in wood to count as renewable seems unwise given the warming that is clearly happening. Leave the carbon in the trees.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ernest Bell
124 Suffolk Rd
Wellesley, MA 02481
ebell02481@yahoo.com
(617) 237-1875

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ernesta Krackiewicz (ernestakracz@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 4:46 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I strongly oppose all the proposed changes to the RPS. Lowering the standards for hydro, increasing the use of wood burning (which is close to the impacts of burning fossil fuels), cutting short the SREC-1 solar incentive payment period - all of these things are totally detrimental to our crucial need to lower greenhouse gases. I beg you to reconsider.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ernesta Krackiewicz
7 Riverside Street
Weymouth, MA 02192
ernestakracz@gmail.com
(617) 924-0153

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: etg814@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Eunice Grenham

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eunice Grenham
01826529

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ethan Severance (ethan.severance@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 9:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ethan Severance
361 Washington St
Boston, MA 02131
ethan.severance@gmail.com
(315) 31-0185

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: eunice.condrick@csjboston.org
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 5:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eunice Condrick
02186441

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: eva_partyka@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eva Partyka
010751520

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Evan Eisentrager (evaneis@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:37 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evan Eisentrager
55 Mount Tom Ave #2
Easthampton, MA 01027
evaneis@yahoo.com
(413) 203-1463

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Evan Paige (evan_paige@student.uml.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is better than fossil fuels, but it still isn't net zero emissions and it shouldn't be considered that. As a state we can do better than burning wood. In the long term using 100% green energy is going to be better and may even be more cost effective.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evan Paige
12 Rockwood Lane
Lawrence, MA 01810
evan_paige@student.uml.edu
(978) 533-9338

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Evan Witherbee (evan.witherbee@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:19 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evan Witherbee
27 Cider Mill Ln
East Bridgewater, MA 02333
evan.witherbee@gmail.com
722-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Evelyn Melancon (evelyn@mlncn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

As a person who has lived with allergies for 65 years and whose descendants also have environmental allergies I can say from personal experience that putting more pollutants into our air is a hazardous idea. I am convinced that we can solve our energy problems without increasing pollution.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Melancon
33 Fisher St
Natick, MA 01760
evelyn@mlncn.com
(508) 655-7065

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Everest Huang (everesthuang@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning seems to me to be only a small step removed from burning coal or oil, and goes against what should be the intent of using a renewable energy source.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Everest Huang
~~James W. A.~~
Cambridge, MA 02141
everesthuang@comcast.net
(617) 83-0889

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ewapias@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ewa Piasecka
01562

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ezra.three@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dan Aurian-Blajeni
021 91226

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: f1smith@umassd.edu
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Frank Smith
0270024

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: famenezes@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

FRANCIS MINER
0720348

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Fanny Whitman (fannynlwhitman@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:53 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fanny Whitman
PO Box 191
Westport Point, MA 02891
fannynlwhitman@gmail.com
726-1928

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: fannynlwhitman@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
FannyWhitman
07/20/19

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: farkas1945@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 10:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Frank Farkas

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Frank Farkas
01201

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: farokh.mehta@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Farokh Mehta
02463119

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: fionap928@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:27 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Fiona Power
019025105

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: fishburn44@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sara Fishburn
013013610

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: fit2row2@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:39 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stephen Wall
02353320

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: fitboot3@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
charla mcmillian
02125

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Flora Tonthat (florajeff01@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 6:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood is not clean. We can do better with more solar and wind.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Flora Tonthat
30 North Street
Salem, MA 01970
florajeff01@gmail.com
(978) 226-0941

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Florence Reddish (florrier@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Florence Reddish
3 Hagar St
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
florrie@hotmail.com
(617) 821-9053

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: flowenthal@mac.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:51 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
FrankLowenthal
0278325

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: focuselectrical@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 12:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jim Conlon
01826

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: focuselectrical@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jim Conlon
018261125

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: foleyjrc@live.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Robert Foley Jr

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rob Foley
02703

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: foodistruth@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Janet Hirschhorn
018407

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Fran Sullivan (fran1948@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Fran Sullivan
3 Margaux Way
Norfolk, MA 02056
fran1948@gmail.com
(508) 3804365

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: franaca.adams@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
FranAdams
0213872

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Francis Hann (fmhann@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:26 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Francis Hann
25 Boundary Stone Rd
Sutton, MA 01500
fmhann@gmail.com
(508) 865-5711

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Francis Menezes (famenezes@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 3:01 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Francis Menezes
66 Prospect St Apt E
Fall River, MA 02720
famenezes@hotmail.com
(508) 489-4325

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Francisco Villalobos (leray140@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Wood burning is not clean energy! We need trees to provide oxygen. Future generations depend on your your decision.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Francisco Villalobos
48 Main St
Amesbury, MA 01913
leray140@gmail.com
(978) 255-2314

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Francoise La Monica (franswas.lamonica@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:11 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Francoise La Monica
9 Centre St
Newton, MA 02459
franswas.lamonica@yahoo.com
(617) 931-1375

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Frank Cummings (hatsoffforhumanrights@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

It's a double whammy; cut trees that remove CO2 while burning them to cause pollution.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Cummings
196 Newell Hill Rd
Sterling, MA 01564
hatsoffforhumanrights@comcast.net
(508) 277-505

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Frank Demaree (eleanor.cook@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:02 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Demaree
4RustAve
Northampton, MA 01060
eleanor.cook@comcast.net
(413) 584-1104

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Frank Lowenthal (flowenthal@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:44 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

FrankLowenthal
112Lewis Rd
Belmont, MA02478
flowenthal@mac.com
(617) 243-3377

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Frank Pilholski (pilgura@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:32 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Pilholski
1Nxon Rd
Framingham, MA 01701
pilgura@verizon.net
(508) 877-6604

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Frank Smith (f1smith@umassd.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:50 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Smith
Box 41252
New Bedford, MA 02744
f1smith@umassd.edu
(508) 9859

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: frankdunkl@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Frank Dunkl
02568045

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: freefall123@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:57 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Skye robinson
02152333

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Frieda Nace (fritzie.nace@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 9:16 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

The RPS can be a secure and safe way for the average citizen to support movement toward GHG reduction and climate change mitigation. Please do not alter the guidelines such that this becomes an untrustworthy avenue for the massive and rapid change that needs to happen to reduce GHG impacts on the future of the Earth.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frieda Nace
95 Salem St.
Winchester, MA 01890
fritzie.nace@gmail.com
(978) 654-3210

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: frieghthauler@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 8:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Geoff Larsson
02667383

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: frommesachs@yahoo.de
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:03 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sinje Fromme-Sachs
38723

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: fronav@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Frona Vicksell
0172282

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: fwhite32@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:45 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Frederick White



RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: G Thomas Poirier (tompoirier555@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:04 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

In addition to the quasi-technical verbiage above, I heartily add my impassioned voice as a strong proponent and user of renewable energy. In view of recent and very daunting reports that scientifically point to environmental disaster including encroaching coastlines in the Bay State, we must act decisively and with unification to allay these demon that we ~~KNOW~~as climate change. Our generation and those ahead depend on the progressive actions we take ~~NOW~~

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

G Thomas Poirier
53 Rhodora St
Lowell, MA 01851
tompoirier555@comcast.net
(978) 676-593

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: g23lion@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Joanne Mainiero
023511901

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gaia_healer@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Linda Hogan
0190

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gail Page (gailapage@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 1:47 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gail Page
8 Washington Terrace
Woburn, MA 01801
gailapage@gmail.com
978-821-8276

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gail Page (gailapage@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 1:14 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Some people think wood is a good renewable source of energy. However, a tree takes decades to reach a size where it is usable to harvest for heating, so it is not truly renewable in the same sense as wind, water, or sun. In addition, burning woods releases greenhouse gases which warm the planet and toxins that pollute our air. Plus, we need all our trees in order to remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Please - for our health and a livable climate - do not include wood in your plans for creating more electricity. Thank you!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gail Page
8 Washington Terrace
Woburn, MA 01801
gailapage@gmail.com
978-821-8276

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 95500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gail Richmond (grrichmond311@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 12:43 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gail Richmond
613 Lowell St
Peabody, MA 01960
grrichmond311@hotmail.com
9535-0786

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gail Veiby (herathveiby@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:47 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gail Veiby
16 Npmuck Drive
Westborough, MA 01581
herathveiby@charter.net
(508) 870-172

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gailfle1@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gail Fleischaker
0107285

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gale Lord (gale100@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 10:56 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gale Lord
278 Newbury St Lot 5B
Peabody, MA 01960
gale100@icloud.com
(603) 91215

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gandhi0324@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

~~ABIGAIL~~
01002915

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gary Callahan (gjc01907@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:40 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Dear Governor Baker, as your neighbor in Swampscott, we can both appreciate just how fragile the environment is. Wood is def not the way to go to help improve the quality of the air we breathe. Please do not support this increase in its use to help generate electricity. The Northeast already absorbs far too much pollution generated in the Midwest. And as a highly active fitness enthusiast, with asthma, I am already sensitized to pollution we've already got here. Solar, wind, etc. far far better for us all in the long run.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Callahan
73 Fuller Ave
Swampscott, MA 01907
gjc01907@yahoo.com
(617) 95260

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gary Costello (garycost@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:35 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood for electricity endangers ~~A~~life. Supporters of this either are incredibly stupid, astonishingly corrupt or both!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Costello
60 Water St
Milford, MA 01757
garycost@verizon.net
(508) 489-320

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gary Felder (garyfelder@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:19 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

As a father of two children I care deeply about curtailing climate change for their sake.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Felder
128 Black Birch Trail
Florence, MA 01062
garyfelder@gmail.com
(413) 537-1904

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gary Thaler (4gary4thaler4@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 2:13 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Thaler
84 Bradstreet Ave
Revere, MA 02151
4gary4thaler@gmail.com
(617) 286-874

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gary Thaler (gary.thaler@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:23 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gary Thaler
84 Bradstreet Ave
Revere, MA 02151
gary.thaler@verizon.net
(617) 286-874

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gary.thaler@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gary Thaler
02154112

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gchase393@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

Removing our forest opens the avenue for more carbon and methane impacts. If the Baker administration is really aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years away then these proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II. Such action represents bad thinking.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gib Chase
015322313

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gdbotwin@charter.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gordon Walker
015401628

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gden20@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dennis Diemand
02534003

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gdmartin51@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gary Martin
019211005

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: geepyjeep@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

William Hill
21303103

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Geeta Aiyer (geeta@aiyers.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:11 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Proud of our state's leadership on carbon pollution! Burning wood is not a renewable solution for us!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Geeta Aiyer
11 Magazine Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
geeta@aiyers.net
(617) 893-216

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gehmanmj@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
MJ Gehman
0242

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gene Fry (gene.fry@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:10 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Using wood for energy is not carbon-neutral in less than 40 to 100 years. It takes that long to re-grow a tree. Moreover, cutting trees disrupts the root systems, which decay and release lots of CO₂ to the air. Also, the heat content of wood is only 2/3 as much as coal per pound, since it is full of water before it is dried. It still has appreciable water after drying, by the time it is burned.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO₂ emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO₂.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gene Fry
17 Fox Run
Brookfield, MA 01506
gene.fry@rcn.com
(81) 68716

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 95500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: genetics@bu.edu
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Richard Goldstein
02138

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Genevieve Jobson (gjobson8@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:26 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

P.S. I believe Massachusetts can take a great step forward and find other ways to use renewable energy. Let us do the best for our people, our, state, and our planet.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Genevieve Jobson
3 Livingston rd
Wellesley, MA 02182
gjobson8@icloud.com
(617) 570-023

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Genevieve Roudiez (groudiez@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 7:26 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

~~CLEAN~~ENERGY

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Genevieve Roudiez
465 Minster St, Nantucket Massachusetts 02554 Genevieve, MA 02554 groudiez@icloud.com
(508) 221-0313

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Geoffrey Rich (geoffreyrich@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey Rich
55 Highland St
Worcester, MA 01501
geoffreyrich@hotmail.com
(508) 341-6819

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: George Connell (gconnell460@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Connell
1165 Shelburne Falls Rd
Conway, MA 01341
gconnell460@gmail.com
(413) 369-0119

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: George Gordon, Jr. (gsgordonjr@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:25 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

no more CO2

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

George Gordon, Jr.
100 Newbury Ct Apt 305
Concord, MA 01742
gsgordonjr@comcast.net
(936) 2527

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: georgebason@juno.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
George Bason
018002715

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: georgekriebel@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
George W. Kriebel Jr
010621213

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: georgemandler@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
George Mandler
01734

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Georgia Neill (georgianeill@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Georgia Neill
PO Box 806
North Truro, MA 02652
georgianeill@comcast.net
(508) 487-791

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: geranium07@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Debbie Grimard
018262583

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Geri Belle (bellegeri2007@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 6:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Keep Massachusetts a leader in our protection of the environment by showing how the use of sustainable, non polluting fuels in innovative ways is possible. Let us be a model for the rest of the country.....

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Geri Belle
10 Saint Sauveur Ct
Cambridge, MA 02138
bellegeri2007@comcast.net
(617) 354-0584

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gertrude Crowley (trudybull@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:44 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Your efforts to decrease climate change are not enough for Massachusetts. Your investments in the fossil fuel industry are now in the public domain. We are all watching now. Republicans used to be a Party that cared about its citizens, but it has become apparent that it is now a Party that looks out for corporate interests and the wealthy. Please show us you are different and willing to put the interests of Massachusetts first. We are watching.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gertrude Crowley
60 Odonnell Ave
East Falmouth, MA 02536
trudybull@comcast.net
(508) 540-7880

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ggrandy37@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gail Grandy
015201780

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ggray@acad.umass.edu
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 1:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gail Gray
010002

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ghiblilover20@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
ClarissaAllen
01002

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gilbert Lawall (glawall@classics.umass.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:43 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Lawall
71 Sand Hill Rd
Amherst, MA 01002
glawall@classics.umass.edu
(413) 590-0330

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gina Galat-Skey (skeymwcc@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:40 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Burning wood releases CO2 comparable with gas, oil and even coal for the same amount of heat. You would have to have an amazing amount of forest regeneration to offset the CO2.

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3a__www.volker-2Dquaschnig.de_dat&serv_CO2-2Dspez_index-5Fe.php&cd=HfP&e=DFoMaP&kvev-fVaMnGCAfCDz1Bns_v&fRomg87L-01gW0Gjz_2SvVsmwD1RsvTR&mtVORuMU2yaaK2ock5fDBxITm3ckmjOM&scfSWzhI-Rhq0tNpuHrIVhHh7sgfgL160&e

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gina Galat-Skey
75 Mellen Rd
Gina, MA 01455
skeymwcc@yahoo.com
07/25/2019

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 95500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ginambennett@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 11:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Gina Bennett

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gina Bennett
0190

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ginambennett@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gina Bennett
01901514

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ginger Ryan (gingerryan11@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 9:11 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

This is so distressing that this is even being considered by the Baker Administration. We have so little time to transition to truly renewable sources of energy before we will have bequeathed catastrophic climate change to our children. Trees are not a renewable energy source. They take years to replace. They are allies in our fight to prevent climate catastrophe in their ability to capture carbon. Destruction of the world's forests is one of the major drivers of the climate crisis. I am outraged.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ginger Ryan
35 Crescent Street
Cambridge, MA 02138
gingerryan11@gmail.com
(857) 205-0415

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ginny.rose@verizon.net
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 4:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
GinnyAnsbergs
010705

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gjcrespo@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gary Crespo
01873307

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: glcaplan@earthlink.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:09 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. The renewable Portfolio Standard is only truly effective environmentally when it functions as a low or zero carbon standard as well. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gregory Caplan
021302834

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Glenn Herbert (qk.kansas@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 7:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenn Herbert
141 King Philip Path
Mendon, MA 01756
qk.kansas@gmail.com
(508) 918-6186

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Glenn Maltais (glennm@bluedotsolar.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:42 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Glenn Maltais
188 County St
Lakeville, MA 02347
glennm@bluedotsolar.com
978-271-4666

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: glgoldstein@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 10:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gary Goldstein
02611210

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gmichael48@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 9:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael Schmidt
01867603

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gmiskolczy@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Bonnie Miskolczy
017110006

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gnomeonagoat@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 5:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Peter Rosenburgh
02143-1645

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: goodendings@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 6:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Donalyn Gross
011061246

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gooutside@capecod.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Charles Madansky
026311590

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gordon Coates (gcoates224@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 2:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gordon Coates
45 Vernon St
Northampton, MA 01060
gcoates224@gmail.com
(413) 584-632

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gordon Deane (gdeane@palmcap.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 12:02 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gordon Deane
13 Elm St Ste 200
Cohasset, MA 02025
gdeane@palmcap.com
(617) 383-3200

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gpaul_a@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
GordonAdams
025322150

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Grace Robbins (gar96@cornell.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 12:06 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Grace Robbins
141 South St Apt 1
Boston, MA 02130
gar96@cornell.edu
(415) 804-6385

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Grace Seiler (erasmustoo@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:55 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Grace Seiler
29 Washington St
Belmont, MA 02478
erasmustoo@yahoo.com
(617) 202-2020

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gramdot@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
DorothyAnderson
021912233

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Greg Crockett (greg.crockett@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Greg Crockett
7Tucks Point Rd
Manchester, MA 019
greg.crockett@verizon.net
603-526-1673

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Greg Sabin (movingpictures2@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 11:28 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This is a asinine idea.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Greg Sabin
520 Cold Spring Ave
West Springfield, MA 01089
movingpictures2@msn.com
(413) 221-9825

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: greg.crockett@verizon.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gregory Crockett
01914

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gregg Greenough (pgg11671@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gregg Greenough
315 Harrison Ave Ph 83
Boston, MA 02118
pgg11671@gmail.com
(617) 515-0257

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Gretel Munroe (gsmunroe@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 4:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Everyone knows that nature which includes forests is good for health. In Scotland doctors are writing prescriptions for their patients to spend time in nature. Forests also do something to reduce climate change. Burning wood causes pollution which leads to breathing bad air which puts asthma-sufferers, the elderly and young children at risk. I hope you will prevent further burning of wood for electricity.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gretel Munroe
9 Leyden Ave,
Medford, MA 02155
gsmunroe@me.com
(81) 317-58

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-0000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gretm@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Greta Manoogian
02184820

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: grn2cole@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:42 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gaia Cole
021703328

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gsbrinck@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Brinckerhoff
0267517

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gsmunroe@me.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II. We need to preserve our forests. Recent research indicates that being in nature is good for the health. In Scotland, doctors are prescribing time in nature to their patients. We need our forests.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gretel Munroe
021556634

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: guy.heitmann@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Guy Heitmann
025365178

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gwrightg@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:57 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

~~NONO~~to cutting forest for energy production.
we need to learn how to make out forests and natural prairies whole again.
Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
wright gregson
02125 1450

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: gzsilva@hotmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 5:44 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Gail Silva
017013333

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: H Rose (hedycrose@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

Why take 2 steps forward and one back? Plus the fact that the pollution from woodburning causes asthma, COPD, heart disease and other illnesses..totally separate from its role in causing global warming. This is a bad move. For the welfare of our children, our elderly, ourselves and our planet do not allow wood burning as proposed in this bill.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

H Rose
66 Paradise Rd
Northampton, MA 01060
hedycrose@yahoo.com
(800) 911-1234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: h216scrf@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Heather Fox
0187288

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hal Harder (hal.harder@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hal Harder
34 Wright St
Stoneham, MA 02180
hal.harder@comcast.net
(617) 88-2018

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Haleigh Dermanouelian (haleighd1024@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 1:04 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Haleigh Dermanouelian
370 Ocean Ave
Revere, MA 02151
haleighd1024@icloud.com
(702) 998-~~702998~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hallee.regal@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Hallee Regal
018215645

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hana.lanman.lewis@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
HanaLewis
021303261

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hanna Sherman (hanna.sherman@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:35 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hanna Sherman
17 Lexington Ave
Lexington, MA 02421
hanna.sherman@gmail.com
(617) 861-7258

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hannah Gibson (hjl Gibson politics@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:29 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hannah Gibson
14 Gannett St
Boston, MA 02121
hjl Gibson politics@gmail.com
(857) 540-582

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: harbhajank@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

HHalsa

02054173

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hargis_kimberly@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 3:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Kim Hargis
02675010

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: harpo52@netzero.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

James Keats

01119735

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: harpsterdude@fastmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:00 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jahfree Harp
013013010

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Harriet Chenkin (harriet.chenkin@tufts.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:34 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Harriet Chenkin
9 Prescott St
Medford, MA 02155
harriet.chenkin@tufts.edu
(617) 337-703

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: harrietf@charter.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 10:15 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Harriet Forman
015341018

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Harvey Halpern (hhalpern1@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:38 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

We are up. Carbon dioxide is going to destroy the chance for our grandchildren to live a good life. We only get one planet.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Harvey Halpern
7 Tremont St
Cambridge, MA 02139
hhalpern1@gmail.com
(617) 86-1345

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Haskell Werlin (haskellwerlin@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:23 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Haskell Werlin
2 Rockview Street
Boston, MA 02130
haskellwerlin@gmail.com
(617) 524-6615

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hattie Nestel (hattieshalom@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 5:26 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

clean energy-not burning wood!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hattie Nestel
488 South Main Street
Athol, MA 01331
hattieshalom@verizon.net
~~978-304~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hayley Sutherland (hsutherland86@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:54 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

As a resident of Spencer, MA, I sometimes use wood for supplementary heat in the winter, and I am still against these changes. Even if this expansion would mean I get a tax break, I am against it. I would love to see more clean energy options developed in MA, and ideally someday the infrastructure would be such that I can switch off of wood heat.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hayley Sutherland
68 Borkum Rd
Spencer, MA 01562
hsutherland86@gmail.com
(508) 92-286

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Heath Massey (kenyonintibet1@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 4:44 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heath Massey
PO Box 1072
West Falmouth, MA 0254
kenyonintibet1@aol.com
(800) 888-8000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Heather Platt (heather925@rcn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heather Platt
37 Warren St
Waltham, MA 02453
heather925@rcn.com
(617) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: heathergraf1@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:21 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Heather Graf
027661013

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Heidi Fessenden (hfessenden@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:23 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Climate change is threatening my daughters' future and their ability to lead safe lives. Please don't let this change happen.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heidi Fessenden
126 Thornton St., #2
Roxbury, MA 02119
hfessenden@gmail.com
(617) 246-5500

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Heidi Sikina (heidisikina@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 3:02 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Heidi Sikina
23 Mill Rd
Harvard, MA 01451
heidisikina@gmail.com
978-56-0233

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: heidisikina@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Heidi Sikina
014511314

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: heinzremold@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:48 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Heinz Remold
02455838

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Helen Clark (helenevelynclark@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 8:50 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

We now know that not only does burning wood release CO₂, but the other emissions are as bad as, if not worse than, burning diesel.... please move MA to clean energy and clean air, rather than keeping us all in a polluted state.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO₂ emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO₂.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helen Clark
410 West Falmouth Hwy Unit 435
West Falmouth, MA 02574
helenevelynclark@gmail.com
(508) 564-0676

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Helen Lozoraitis (hlozoraitis@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:44 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

HelenLozoraitis
26 Elmwood St
Wareham, MA 02571
hlozoraitis@gmail.com
(617) 908-1752

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Helen Yanulis (heyhey29@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:33 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

HelenYanulis
104Roundwood Rd
NewtonUpper Falls, MA02461
heyhey29@gmail.com
(617)99-5166

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Helene Bank (laney576@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:19 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Helene Bank
~~17~~Putnam Ave Apt 1
Cambridge, MA 02139
laney576@gmail.com
(617) 576-669

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: helenevelynclark@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
helen clark
0254

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: helenloz@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:12 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
HelenLozoraitis
025712350

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: helicoid00@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 5:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mariko Cantley
02138

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: helogan@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 11:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
HelenLogan
0210245

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hemlocklago@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 7:24 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
James Lagomarsino
01037

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: henniewallace@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:00 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Henrietta Wallace
01060185

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: her84754@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 2:18 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Subj: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS
Gov. Charles Duane Baker Jr.

With due respects.
Gov. Charlie

Gentleman., I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules.

In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

Sir., The Administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions.

Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to; Please, Withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Gov. Baker , Please do say no; To the biomass industry.
Thank you for your attention , care and consideration to keep biomass energy out of the RPS.
Sincerely,
Ms. Maria Celia Hernandez 7-20-2019
54 Orleans St. Apt. 317
Boston MA, 02128

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hewett_rosemary@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:24 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rosemary Hewett
019822645

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hilary McGregor (hilmac85@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:55 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hilary McGregor
85 Metropolitan Ave
Ashland, MA 01721
hilmac85@gmail.com
(508) 881-2300

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hillary Hoffman (hillary.hoffman@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 1:56 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hillary Hoffman
30 Abbott Street
Greenfield, MA 01301
hillary.hoffman@gmail.com
(413) 532-3257

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hilmac85@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:36 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Hilary McGregor
017212158

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hmwise@admin.umass.edu
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 4:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
HelenWe
010601632

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Holiday Houck (holidayh@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 3:13 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Holiday Houck
192 Commonwealth Ave
Boston, MA 02116
holidayh@aol.com
(617) 353-1539

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Holly H Johnston (holly.hjohnston@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:50 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Holly H Johnston
PO Box 367
Eastham, MA 02642
holly.hjohnston@comcast.net
(508) 210-5264

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Holly Tetreault (hbt1960@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 1:17 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

Allowing more pollution at a time when credible scientific research shows that climate change is real, and a threat to all of earth's inhabitants, seems to be a republican goal these days. It's misguided and so very harmful to all life on earth. Gov. Baker should think long and hard about the people, ~~NOT~~ the money!

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Holly Tetreault
29 Vadenais St
East Longmeadow, MA 01028
hbt1960@yahoo.com
(413) 525-8336

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hooppole@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 9:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Dr. Jodi Rodar

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jodi Rodar
01002

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hooppole@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jodi Rodar
01002

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hoothill@valinet.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Janice Starmer
0137836

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: horsefeatherz@mac.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 11:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Horse Feather
024203825

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hotjenday@aol.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dr. TammyKing
01401505

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Howard Garbarsky (hgarbarsky@williams.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:04 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Howard Garbarsky
2 Bridges Rd
Wiamstown, MA 01267
hgarbarsky@williams.edu
~~(413) 458-0029~~

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: howard richardson (hoovardrichardson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 11:08 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I live on my social security benefit, rent my home with a 5 acre woodlot, and heat with fallen wood from my trees to save money. I see the creosote that condenses inside my chimney and the smoke rising from it . I think making electricity on large scale from wood burning is a bad idea. Instead of cutting trees for fuel, we need to plant more, lots more, trees to soak up co2, not burn them to make electricity. Conservation is the way.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. in particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and the there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

howard richardson
563 Plymouth St
Halifax, MA02338
hoovardrichardson@gmail.com
(81) 23-375

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 95500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hpbartlett@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
debra bartlett
018211001

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hpeuler28@aol.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 11:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Henry Euler
01085

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hrwr@earthlink.net
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2019 11:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: David Walter

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Walter
021313319

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: htausig@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 12:30 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Heather Tausig
0249710

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: htmwyben@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:21 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Peter Mason
01089758

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hu Ho (haahaahaa_98@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2019 10:44 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations
Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

The administration's proposal would weaken the RPS and pollute our air substantially by:

Eliminating efficiency standards for woody biomass.

Increasing utility and supplier obligations to buy from waste-to-energy sources, such as trash incineration.

Eliminating the requirement that hydropower facilities be designated as low-impact (meaning, giving preferential financial incentives to facilities that impact the surrounding eco-system as little as possible) when undergoing re-certification.

Cutting short the SREC-1 solar incentive payment period.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hu Ho
7 Grant Ave
Wintertown, MA 02722
haahaahaa_98@yahoo.com
(617) 500-7110

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hugh Freund (hugh.freund@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 5:23 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hugh Freund
52 Springfield St
Somerville, MA 02143
hugh.freund@gmail.com
(207) 332-2590

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Hugh Wilks (hughwilks@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 2:31 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Hugh Wilks
3 William St
Cambridge, MA 02139
hughwilks@yahoo.com
(617) 388-5136

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hummann@outlook.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:48 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
MaryAnne Mann
25403316

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: hwlb@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 1:12 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
HazelAnett
021421246

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: idambolena@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 8:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ismael Dambolena
0242

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ilana Blatt-Eisengart (ilanabe@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 7:05 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ilana Blatt-Eisengart
8 Orvis Rd
Arlington, MA 02474
ilanabe@gmail.com
(617) 18-176

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Ilana Schoenfeld (ilanaschoen@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 9:44 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

This is not a good plan. No wood burning, please.

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ilana Schoenfeld
51 Coolidge Rd
Arlington, MA 02466
ilanaschoen@yahoo.com
(617) 872-4882

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 975-5500 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ilanaschoen@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 10:06 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ilana Schoenfeld
~~02/16/19~~

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ileyg@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 12:33 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eileen Geoghegan
01701320

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: info@amysophia.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:36 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

~~AMYSOPHIA WASHNIX~~
01002270

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: info@curacaowithdiana.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:39 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Diana Abrashkin
01/31/12

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: info@roundhouseculture.com
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:54 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,

Bekki t.

01340633

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: ingalls_amy@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 7:27 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amy Ingalls
01082

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Irina Cain (nilcairina@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2019 10:37 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Irina Cain
165 Pleasant Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
nilcairina@yahoo.com
(803) 257-6860

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: irinak@cobma.us
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 5:30 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
IrinaKlinkovskaya
023013864

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: irwinhoenigcst@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 2:51 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Irwin Hoenig

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Irwin Hoenig
2026

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: irwinhoenigcst@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2019 9:45 AM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Irwin Hoenig

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Irwin Hoenig
2026

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Isaac Girard (isaac@isaacgirard.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2019 5:03 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isaac Girard
17 Newport St, Fl 2
Dorchester, MA 02125
isaac@isaacgirard.com
(508) 202-3675

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Isabel Bailey (isabelvbailey@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2019 5:13 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MADOER RPS Public Comments,

I used wood burning and my family developed asthma and breathing problems. Please do not turn to wood burning

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isabel Bailey
121 Everett St
Concord, MA 01742
isabelvbailey@gmail.com
(617) 31-0619

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: Isaiah Plovnick (stagethane@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:58 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Halt the plans to burn wood for electricity - Public Comment RPS Class I and RPS Class II Regulations

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear MA DOER RPS Public Comments,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the timeframe for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculations of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHGs, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions, and moreover living forests sequester CO2.

Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens can ill afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isaiah Plovnick
263 Walnut St
Brookline, MA 0245
stagethane@gmail.com
(617) 872-2835

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. Please contact Lillian Miller at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 955-5000 for more information.

RPS, DOER (ENE)

From: iwantapanda@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2019 5:09 PM
To: RPS, DOER (ENE)
Subject: Keep biomass energy out of the RPS

Categories: Saved as a common PDF

Dear Governor Baker,

I am alarmed by the proposed changes to RPS Class I, especially the changes that reduce or eliminate the core requirements of the 2012 RPS bioenergy rules. In particular, the DOER plans reductions in facility efficiency criteria, increases in the allowed variety of wood, including whole trees, increasing from 20 years to 30 years the time frame for plants to show a net reduction in GHG emissions relative to fossil fuels, calculation of lifecycle CO2 emissions that ignore fossil fuels used in harvesting, processing and transport, elimination of requirements for liquid fuels to show a real reduction in GHG's, and the removal of Massachusetts-specific forest harvesting criteria.

The Baker administration is aware that the climate crisis is real, is urgent, and that the time window for dramatic action is just a few years. These proposals are entirely contrary to the public good, contrary to my health and especially contrary to the health of children and older adults.

Clean energy does not come out of a smokestack. Burning wood is a highly emitting source of energy, and there is no longer time to grow new forests to recapture the emissions. Moreover, living forests sequester CO2. Health impacts are an immediate consequence of wood burning. The Commonwealth and its citizens cannot afford increased health care costs and reductions in quality of life due to illness caused by particulate matter pollution.

I urge you to withdraw the proposed eligibility changes to RPS Class I and Class II.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elizabeth Irving
01351815